September 6, 2011

**SOUND SCIENCE: ANTIBIOTIC USE IN FOOD ANIMALS LEADS TO DRUG RESISTANT INFECTIONS IN PEOPLE**

Dear Senator/Representative:

The undersigned medical and public health organizations wish to clarify misinformation and confusion about the state of scientific knowledge concerning the contribution of animal agriculture to human antibiotic resistance.

Recent statements made on the House floor called into question forty years’ worth of peer-reviewed scientific literature, suggesting this body of evidence is not “hard science.” These statements took aim at the Food and Drug Administration (FDA), claiming the agency lacks scientific proof to support addressing antibiotic (or antimicrobial) misuse in food animal production. H. Res. 98, introduced in February, also questions FDA’s scientific basis for decision-making. On the contrary, the evidence is so strong of a link between misuse of antibiotics in food animals and human antibiotic resistance that FDA and Congress should be acting much more boldly and urgently to protect these vital drugs for human illness. In fact, government data show that the vast majority of antibiotics in the U.S. are sold for use in food animals, not people.

Below are highlights from the significant body of literature on the human health threat posed by antibiotic misuse in food animals, including recent federal agency testimony, government summary reviews of peer-reviewed journal articles and individual examples from within the literature.

**RECENT FEDERAL AGENCY TESTIMONY:**

During July 2010 House hearings, the FDA, U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), and U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) affirmed the definitive scientific evidence that the overuse of antibiotics in food animal production contributes to the development of antibiotic-resistant bacterial infections in people.

- USDA testified, “USDA believes that it is likely that the use of antibiotics in animal agriculture does lead to some cases of antibacterial resistance among humans.”¹
- FDA testified, “The overall weight of the available evidence to date supports the conclusion that using medically important antimicrobial drugs for production purposes is not in the interest of protecting and promoting the public health.”²
- CDC gave similar testimony, and wrote a follow-up letter stating³, “Studies related to *Salmonella*, including many studies in the United States, have demonstrated that (1) use of antimicrobial agents in food animals results in antimicrobial resistance in food animals, (2) resistance strains are present in the food supply and commonly transmitted to humans, and (3) increases in resistant strains result in adverse human health consequences (e.g., increased hospitalization).”⁴,⁵
U.S. GOVERNMENT AND INTERNATIONAL REVIEWS:

- In 2009, FDA data showed that 80 percent of antibacterial drugs were sold for use in food animals in the United States\(^5\) for promoting growth, preventing disease, and treating animal illness.
- An April 2004 report by the Government Accountability Office concluded that, based on the scientific evidence, antibiotic-resistant bacteria have been transmitted from animals to humans and pose a significant threat to human health.\(^7\)
  - The Department of Health and Human Services responded with additional evidence, stating: “These [11 additional] studies, along with those cited in the GAO report, all demonstrate a relationship between the use of antimicrobials in food-producing animals, antibiotic resistance in humans, and adverse human health consequences as a result. We believe that there is a preponderance of evidence that the use of antimicrobials in food-producing animals has adverse human consequences....There is little evidence to the contrary.”\(^8\)
- A 2003 Institute of Medicine report recommended that “FDA ban the use of antimicrobials for growth promotion in animals if those classes of antimicrobials are also used in humans.”\(^9\)
- A 2003 joint report by the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO), the World Organization for Animal Health (OIE), and the World Health Organization (WHO) concluded: 1) “there is clear evidence of adverse human health consequences due to resistant organisms resulting from non-human usage of antimicrobials;” 2) “the foodborne route is the major transmission pathway for resistant bacteria and resistance genes from food animals to humans, but other routes of transmission exist;” and 3) the “consequences of antimicrobial resistance are particularly severe when pathogens are resistant to antimicrobials critically important in humans.”\(^10\)
- A 1997 WHO report stated that, based on the scientific evidence, “low-level, long-term exposure to antimicrobials may have greater selective potential than short-term, full-dose therapeutic use” and recommended ending the use of important human antibiotics for growth promotion in food animals.\(^11\)
- A 1988 Institute of Medicine study found a considerable body of indirect evidence implicating both subtherapeutic and therapeutic use of antimicrobials in food animals as a potential human health hazard.\(^12\)
- A 1970 FDA task force review acknowledged evidence showing that antibiotic use in food-producing animals, especially in subtherapeutic amounts, was associated with the development of drug-resistant bacteria, and that treated animals might serve as a reservoir of antibiotic-resistant pathogens that could produce human disease.\(^13\)

REPRESENTATIVE SCIENTIFIC JOURNAL ARTICLES:

- A 2010 Canadian study showed that voluntary withdrawal of extralabel cephalosporin use in poultry resulted in a dramatic decrease in related drug resistance in chicken *Salmonella* and *E. coli*, and a similar decrease in drug-resistant *Salmonella* infections in
people. A partial reintroduction of the drug in hatcheries caused a rise in drug-resistant *E. coli*.\textsuperscript{14}

- A 2007 study in Wisconsin and Minnesota found that antibiotic-resistant *E. coli* in people was likely to have come from poultry.\textsuperscript{15}
- U.S. studies in 2001 and 2008 concluded that the data suggest that drug-resistant *E. coli* strains in the human urinary tract may have an animal origin.\textsuperscript{16}
- A 2003 study reported that a specific strain of *Salmonella* infections in New England rose from 0 to 53 percent between 1998 and 2001, showed resistance to several antimicrobials used in livestock, and mirrored the same infections in cattle.\textsuperscript{17}
- A 1999 study in Minnesota reported that ciprofloxacin-resistant *Campylobacter* was found in 14 percent of domestic chicken products, and that the number of related human infections had increased, largely because of poultry consumption.\textsuperscript{18}
- A 1984 U.S. Public Health Service, FDA, and Seattle-King County study found that tetracycline-resistant *Campylobacter* appeared “to flow from chickens to man via consumption of poultry products.”\textsuperscript{19}

While scientific understanding may evolve and improve over time, there is certainly enough evidence today to warrant action. Overuse and misuse of important antibiotics in food animals must end, in order to protect human health. We would be happy to meet with you or your staff to answer any questions. Please feel free to contact Shannon Heyck-Williams at sheyck-williams@pewtrusts.org.
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