

2014-2015 BOARD OF DIRECTORS

President

Stephen B. Calderwood, MD, FIDSA Massachusetts General Hospital

President-Flect

Johan S. Bakken, MD, PhD, FIDSA St. Luke's ID Associates Dulutifie. MN

Vice President

William G. Powderly, MD, FIDSA Washington University School of Medicine St. Louis, MO

Secretary

Penelope H. Dennehy, MD, FIDSA HASBRO CHILDREN'S HOSPITAL PROVIDENCE, RI

Treasurer

Cynthia L. Sears, MD, FIDSA
Johns Horkins University School of Medicine
Baltimore, MD

Immediate Past President

Barbara E. Murray, MD, FIDSA
THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS HEALTH SCIENCE CENTER
HOUSTON, TX

Judith A. Aberg, MD, FIDSA ICAHN SCHOOL OF MEDICINE AT MOUNT SINAI NEW YORK, NY

Barbara D. Alexander, MD, MHS, FIDSA

DUKE UNIVERSITY MEDICAL CENTER DURHAM, NC

R. Michael Buckley, MD, FIDSA University of Pennsylvania Health System Philadelphia, PA

Deborah Cotton, MD, MPH, FIDSA Boston University School of Medicine Boston, MA

Janet A. Englund, MD, FIDSA SEATTLE CHILDREN'S HOSPITAL SEATTLE, WA

Thomas Fekete, MD, FIDSA Temple University Medical School Philadelphia, PA

Lawrence P. Martinelli, MD, FIDSA Covenant Health Lubbock, TX

Louis B. Rice, MD, FIDSA Warren Alpert Medical School of Brown University Providence, RI

Steven K. Schmitt, MD, FIDSA CLEVELAND CLINIC CLEVELAND, OH

Chief Executive Officer
Mark A. Leasure

IDSA Headquarters

1300 Wilson Boulevard Suite 300 Arlington, VA 22209 TEL: (703) 299-0200 FAX: (703) 299-0204 EMAIL ADDRESS: info@idsociety.org WEBSITE:

www.idsociety.org



January 29, 2015

[By email to singleirbpolicy@mail.nih.gov]

Office of Clinical Research and Bioethics Policy Office of Science Policy, NIH Telephone: 301-496-9838

Re: NOT-OD-15-026: "Request for Comments on the Draft NIH Policy on the Use of a Single Institutional Review Board for Multi-Site Research"

Dear Sir/Madam:

The Infectious Diseases Society of America (IDSA) is pleased to offer comments on the draft National Institutes of Health (NIH) policy on "The use of a single institutional review board (IRB) for multi-site research." IDSA represents over 10,000 infectious diseases physicians and scientists devoted to patient care, disease prevention, public health, education, and research in the area of infectious diseases. Our members care for patients of all ages with serious infections, including meningitis, pneumonia, tuberculosis, HIV/AIDS, antibiotic-resistant bacterial infections such as those caused by methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) vancomycin-resistant enterococci (VRE), and Gram-negative bacterial infections such as *Acinetobacter baumannii, Klebsiella pneumoniae*, and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, and, finally, emerging infections such as Ebola virus, enterovirus D68, Middle East Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus (MERS-CoV), and bacteria containing the New Delhi metallo-beta-lactamase (NDM) enzyme that makes them resistant to a broad range of antibacterial drugs.

IDSA has long supported efforts to streamline the regulatory process while maintaining research participant protections. As highlighted in our 2009 letter to the National Institutes of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (NIAID) and 2011 response to the Department of Health and Human Services (DHHS) Advance Notice of Proposed Rulemaking "Human Subjects Research Protections: Enhancing Protections for Research Subjects and Reducing Burden, Delay, and Ambiguity for Researchers", IDSA strongly supports a mandated central IRB for NIH funded domestic multi-site research studies.

While IDSA applauds the draft policy and agrees central IRBs will streamline the regulatory process for multi-site trials, we advise the NIH to consider several points as it finalizes this policy. IDSA recommends that the NIH clearly delineates the responsibilities for patient safety between the central IRB and its partner institutions in order to avoid ambiguity in accountability and liability in multi-site trials. During the review process, the central IRB and partners should also maintain transparency by communicating who on the committee is reviewing a given protocol.

In the current period of fiscal austerity, it is important to verify how funding will

impact the administration of a central IRB. In the unlikely event that funding for the central IRB is significantly cut or eliminated, the NIH should ensure a procedure is in place to continue review, adverse event monitoring and consent changes for ongoing multi-site trials. Also, the NIH should clarify how central IRB designation is tied to the origin of funding. For example, if a grant supporting a multi-site trial is awarded to a principal investigator (PI) at one institution, and the PI moves to another institution, would the central IRB designation stay with the investigator or institution?

Finally, IDSA applauds the draft policy's measures to address local institution perspective on issues such as the adequacy of informed consent. IDSA believes in some cases, informed consent documents require local context for adequate participant understanding, which may complicate the establishment of a unified informed consent document. IDSA recommends the NIH establish clear guidelines for how and when local institutions can alter a central IRB informed consent document to fit local needs.

IDSA is committed to ensuring that critical research is performed as efficiently as possible while maintaining transparent, robust protection for research participants. We thank NIH for this draft policy, and look forward to working with you on additional mechanisms to provide greater efficiency. We hope these comments prove useful for the NIH as it moves forward with its draft policy. Should you have any questions or concerns about these comments, please feel free to contact Greg Frank, PhD, IDSA Program Officer for Science and Research Policy, at gfrank@idsociety.org or 703-299-1216.

Sincerely,

Stephen B. Calderwood, MD, FIDSA

eslen B. Calderwood

IDSA President