

**Table 2c. Antibody Performance, Weeks 3 to 5 – IgA**

IgA	Week 3			Week 4			Week 5		
Sensitivity	0.94 (95% CI: 0.87 to 0.98)			0.95 (95% CI: 0.83 to 0.99)			NR		
Specificity	0.96 (95% CI: 0.91 to 0.99)								
Outcome	Effect per 1,000 patients tested								
	Pre-test Probability								
	1% <sup>a</sup>	10% <sup>b</sup>	40% <sup>c</sup>	1% <sup>a</sup>	10% <sup>b</sup>	40% <sup>c</sup>	1% <sup>a</sup>	10% <sup>b</sup>	40% <sup>c</sup>
True positives (patients with COVID-19)	9 (9 to 10)	94 (87 to 98)	376 (348 to 392)	10 (8 to 10)	95 (83 to 99)	380 (332 to 396)	NR	NR	NR
False negatives (patients incorrectly classified as not having COVID-19)	1 (0 to 1)	6 (2 to 13)	24 (8 to 52)	0 (0 to 2)	5 (1 to 17)	20 (4 to 68)	NR	NR	NR
Quality of the evidence	4 studies, 163 patients ⊕⊕○○ LOW <sup>d,e</sup>			3 studies, 191 patients ⊕⊕○○ LOW <sup>d,e</sup>			NR		
	pre-test probability of 1% <sup>a</sup>			pre-test probability of 10% <sup>b</sup>			pre-test probability of 40% <sup>c</sup>		
True negatives (patients without COVID-19)	950 (901 to 980)			864 (819 to 891)			576 (546 to 594)		
False positives (patients incorrectly classified as having COVID-19)	40 (10 to 89)			36 (9 to 81)			24 (6 to 54)		
Quality of Evidence	4 studies, 760 patients ⊕⊕○○ LOW <sup>d,e</sup>								

a. Typically seen in general population in areas that are not hotspots

b. Typically seen in general population in high risk populations

c. Typically seen in general population in exposed and nursing homes

d. The case-control design leads to a serious risk of bias

e. Considering the Upper vs Lower limits of the sensitivity's confidence interval would lead to different clinical decisions.