

**Table 1.** GRADE evidence profile, Recommendation 1

**Question:** Hydroxychloroquine compared to no hydroxychloroquine for hospitalized patients with COVID-19

*Last reviewed and updated 12/23/2020*

Certainty assessment							№ of patients		Effect		Certainty	Importance
№ of studies	Study design	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other considerations	Hydroxychloroquine	no HCQ	Relative (95% CI)	Absolute (95% CI)		
<b>Mortality (RCTs) (follow up: range 22 days to 49 days)</b>												
5 <sup>1,2,3,4,5</sup>	randomized trials	not serious <sup>a</sup>	not serious	not serious <sup>b</sup>	serious <sup>c</sup>	none	561/2976 (18.9%)	908/4532 (20.0%)	RR 1.08 (0.99 to 1.19)	16 more per 1,000 (from 2 fewer to 38 more)	⊕⊕⊕○ MODERATE	CRITICAL
<b>Clinical status (assessed with: 7-point scale; higher signifies worsening severity)</b>												
1 <sup>2</sup>	randomized trials	serious <sup>d</sup>	not serious	not serious	serious <sup>e</sup>	none	159	173	-	median 1.21 higher (0.69 higher to 2.11 higher)	⊕⊕○○ LOW	CRITICAL
<b>Progression to invasive mechanical ventilation</b>												
2 <sup>1,3</sup>	randomized trials	serious <sup>f</sup>	not serious	not serious	serious <sup>c</sup>	none	193/2162 (8.9%)	281/3447 (8.2%)	RR 1.10 (0.92 to 1.31)	8 more per 1,000 (from 7 fewer to 25 more)	⊕⊕○○ LOW	CRITICAL
<b>Arrhythmias</b>												
1 <sup>6</sup>	observational studies	very serious <sup>g</sup>	not serious	not serious	very serious <sup>e,h</sup>	none	44/271 (16.2%)	23/221 (10.4%)	RR 1.56 (0.97 to 2.50)	58 more per 1,000 (from 3 fewer to 156 more)	⊕○○○ VERY LOW	CRITICAL

**Adverse events, any**

IDSA Guideline on the Treatment and Management of COVID-19

Certainty assessment							№ of patients		Effect		Certainty	Importance
№ of studies	Study design	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other considerations	Hydroxychloroquine	no HCQ	Relative (95% CI)	Absolute (95% CI)		
4 <sup>2,7,8,9</sup>	randomized trials	serious <sup>i</sup>	not serious	not serious	serious <sup>e</sup>	none	94/315 (29.8%) <sup>j</sup>	18/176 (10.2%) <sup>k</sup>	<b>RR 2.36</b> (1.49 to 3.75)	<b>139 more per 1,000</b> (from 50 more to 281 more)	⊕⊕○○ LOW	IMPORTANT

**Severe adverse events (assessed with: untoward medical event leading to death, a life-threatening experience, prolongation of hospitalization, or persistent or significant disability or incapacity)**

1 <sup>4</sup>	randomized trials	not serious	not serious	not serious	very serious <sup>e</sup>	none	14/242 (5.8%)	11/237 (4.6%)	<b>OR 1.26</b> (0.56 to 2.84) <sup>l</sup>	<b>11 more per 1,000</b> (from 20 fewer to 75 more)	⊕⊕○○ LOW	CRITICAL
----------------	-------------------	-------------	-------------	-------------	---------------------------	------	---------------	---------------	---	--	-------------	----------

**QT prolongation (RCTs)**

1 <sup>2</sup>	randomized trials	not serious	not serious	not serious	very serious <sup>h</sup>	none	13/89 (14.6%)	1/58 (1.7%)	<b>RR 8.47</b> (1.14 to 63.03)	<b>129 more per 1,000</b> (from 2 more to 1,000 more)	⊕⊕○○ LOW	IMPORTANT
----------------	-------------------	-------------	-------------	-------------	---------------------------	------	---------------	-------------	-----------------------------------	--	-------------	-----------

**QT prolongation (NRS)**

2 <sup>6,10</sup>	observational studies	very serious <sup>g,m</sup>	not serious	not serious	serious <sup>h</sup>	none	46/355 (13.0%)	13/311 (4.2%)	<b>RR 2.89</b> (1.62 to 5.16)	<b>79 more per 1,000</b> (from 26 more to 174 more)	⊕○○○ VERY LOW	IMPORTANT
-------------------	-----------------------	-----------------------------	-------------	-------------	----------------------	------	----------------	---------------	----------------------------------	--	------------------	-----------

**GRADE Working Group grades of evidence**

**High certainty:** We are very confident that the true effect lies close to that of the estimate of the effect

**Moderate certainty:** We are moderately confident in the effect estimate: The true effect is likely to be close to the estimate of the effect, but there is a possibility that it is substantially different

**Low certainty:** Our confidence in the effect estimate is limited: The true effect may be substantially different from the estimate of the effect

**Very low certainty:** We have very little confidence in the effect estimate: The true effect is likely to be substantially different from the estimate of effect

**Risk of bias:** Study limitations

**Inconsistency:** Unexplained heterogeneity across study findings

**Indirectness:** Applicability or generalizability to the research question

**Imprecision:** The confidence in the estimate of an effect to support a particular decision

**Publication bias:** Selective publication of studies

CI: Confidence interval; RR: Risk ratio; OR: Odds ratio

### Explanations

- a. Co-interventions were provided to patients in both studies but balanced across arms.
- b. Cavalcanti 2020 excludes persons receiving supplemental oxygen at a rate of more than 4 liters per minute.
- c. The 95% CI cannot exclude the potential for no benefit or harm.
- d. Cavalcanti was an open-label trial.
- e. The 95% CI includes the potential for both benefit and harm. Few events suggest the potential for fragility in the estimate.
- f. Few events suggest the potential for fragility in the estimate.
- g. Concerns with unmeasured and residual confounding. Multiple co-interventions received across arms.
- h. Few events reported do not meet the optimal information size and suggest fragility in the estimate.
- i. Did not report on blinding (including outcome adjudication committee), sequence generation or allocation concealment; Chen J 2020: all patients received nebulized alpha-interferon, 80% vs. 67.7% of subjects received Abidol in the hydroxychloroquine vs. placebo arm, respectively. Two subjects in the control arm received lopinavir/ritonavir.
- j. Chen J 2020: 4 adverse events include diarrhea, fatigue and transient AST elevation. Chen Z 2020: 1 rash, 1 headache. Tang 2020: 21 adverse events include disease progression (1%), URI (1%), diarrhea (10%), vomiting (3%).
- k. 3 adverse events reported in 2 patients include: AST elevation, creatinine elevation and anemia
- l. aOR: age, sex, baseline COVID Outcome Scale category, baseline Sequential Organ Failure Assessment score, and duration of acute respiratory infection symptoms prior to randomization
- m. Mahevas 2020 does not report on adverse events in the comparator arm.

### References

1. RECOVERY Collaborative Group, Horby P, Mafham M, et al. Effect of Hydroxychloroquine in Hospitalized Patients with Covid-19. *N Engl J Med* **2020**; 383(21): 2030-40.
2. Cavalcanti AB, Zampieri FG, Rosa RG, et al. Hydroxychloroquine with or without Azithromycin in Mild-to-Moderate Covid-19. *N Engl J Med* **2020**; 383: 2041-52.
3. WHO Solidarity Trial Consortium, Pan H, Peto R, et al. Repurposed Antiviral Drugs for Covid-19 - Interim WHO Solidarity Trial Results. *N Engl J Med* **2021**; 384: 497-511.
4. Self WH, Semler MW, Leither L, et al. Effect of hydroxychloroquine on clinical status at 14 days in hospitalized patients with COVID-19: A randomized clinical trial. *JAMA* **2020**; 324(21): 2165-76.
5. Ulrich RJ, Troxel AB, Carmody E, et al. Treating COVID-19 With Hydroxychloroquine (TEACH): A Multicenter, Double-Blind Randomized Controlled Trial in Hospitalized Patients *Open Forum Infect Dis* **2020**; 7(10): ofaa446.
6. Rosenberg ES, Dufort EM, Udo T, et al. Association of treatment with hydroxychloroquine or azithromycin with in-hospital mortality in patients with COVID-19 in New York state. *JAMA* **2020**; 323(4): 2493:502.
7. Chen J, Liu D, Liu L, et al. A pilot study of hydroxychloroquine in treatment of patients with moderate COVID-19. *Journal of Zhejiang University (Medical Sciences)* **2020**; 49(2): 215-9.
8. Chen Z, Hu J, Zhang Z, et al. Efficacy of hydroxychloroquine in patients with COVID-19: results of a randomized clinical trial. *medRxiv* **2020**; Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.03.22.20040758> [Preprint 10 April 2020].
9. Tang W, Cao Z, Han M, et al. Hydroxychloroquine in patients with mainly mild to moderate coronavirus disease 2019: open label, randomised controlled trial. *BMJ* **2020**; 369: m1849.
10. Mahevas M, Tran V-T, Roumier M, et al. No evidence of clinical efficacy of hydroxychloroquine in patients hospitalized for COVID-19 infection with oxygen requirement: results of a study using routinely collected data to emulate a target trial. *medRxiv* **2020**; Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.10.20060699> [Preprint 14 April 2020].