- 2025 Clinical Practice Guideline Update by the Infectious Diseases Society
- of America on the Treatment and Management of COVID-19: Vilobelimab

- 4 Nandita Nadig*,¹ Adarsh Bhimraj*,² Kelly Cawcutt,³ Kathleen Chiotos,⁴ Amy L. Dzierba,⁵ Arthur Y.
- 5 Kim,^{6,7} Greg S. Martin,⁸ Jeffrey C. Pearson,⁹ Amy Hirsch Shumaker,^{10,11} Lindsey R. Baden,^{6,12} Roger
- 6 Bedimo,¹³ Vincent Chi-Chung Cheng,¹⁴ Kara W. Chew,¹⁵ Eric S. Daar,¹⁶ David V. Glidden,¹⁷ Erica J.
- 7 Hardy, ¹⁸ Steven Johnson, ¹⁹ Jonathan Z. Li, ^{6,12} Christine MacBrayne, ²⁰ Mari M. Nakamura, ^{21,22} Laura
- 8 Riley,^{23,24} Robert W. Shafer,²⁵ Shmuel Shoham,²⁶ Pablo Tebas,²⁷ Phyllis C. Tien,²⁸ Jennifer Loveless,²⁹
- 9 Yngve Falck-Ytter, ^{10,11} Rebecca L. Morgan⁺, ^{10,30} Rajesh T. Gandhi^{+6,7}
- *These authors contributed equally to the manuscript.
- 11 *These authors contributed equally to the manuscript.
- 12 ¹Division of Pulmonary and Critical Care Medicine, Northwestern University Feinberg School of Medicine,
- 13 Chicago, Illinois, USA, ²Division of Infectious Diseases, Houston Methodist Hospital, Houston, Texas, USA,
- ³Department of Internal Medicine, University of Nebraska Medical Center, Omaha, Nebraska, USA, ⁴Department of
- Anesthesiology and Critical Care, Children's Hospital of Philadelphia/University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia,
- 16 Pennsylvania, USA, ⁵Department of Medicine, New York University Grossman School of Medicine, New York
- 17 City, New York, USA, ⁶Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts, USA, ⁷Department of Medicine,
- Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts, USA, ⁸Division of Pulmonary, Allergy, Critical Care and
- 19 Sleep Medicine, Emory University, Atlanta, Georgia, USA, ⁹Department of Pharmacy, Brigham and Women's
- Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts, USA, ¹⁰Department of Medicine, Case Western Reserve University, School of
- 21 Medicine, Cleveland, Ohio, USA, ¹¹Department of Medicine, VA Northeast Ohio Healthcare System, Cleveland,
- Ohio, USA, ¹²Department of Medicine, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts, USA, ¹³Department
- of Medicine, UT Southwestern/VA North Texas Health Care System, Dallas, Texas, USA, ¹⁴Department of
- Microbiology, Queen Mary Hospital, Li Ka Shing Faculty of Medicine, The University of Hong Kong, Pokfulam,
- Hong Kong Special Administrative Region, China, ¹⁵Department of Medicine, David Geffen School of Medicine,
- University of California Los Angeles, Los Angeles, California, USA, ¹⁶Department of Medicine, Harbor-UCLA
- Medical Center, Torrance, California, USA, ¹⁷Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, UCSF, San Francisco,
- 28 California, USA, ¹⁸Departments of Medicine and Obstetrics and Gynecology, Brown University, Providence, Rhode
- 29 Island, USA, ¹⁹Department of Medicine, University of Colorado School of Medicine, Aurora, Colorado, USA,
- 30 ²⁰Department of Pharmacy, Children's Hospital Colorado, Aurora, Colorado, USA, ²¹Antimicrobial Stewardship
- 31 Program and Division of Infectious Diseases, Boston Children's Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts, USA,
- 32 ²²Department of Pediatrics, Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts, USA, ²³New York-Presbyterian
- Hospital, New York City, New York, USA, ²⁴Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Weill Cornell Medicine,
- New York City, New York, USA, ²⁵Department of Medicine, Stanford University, Palo Alto, California, USA,
- 35 ²⁶Division of Infectious Diseases, Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, Maryland, USA,
- ²⁷Department of Medicine, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, USA, ²⁸Department of
- 37 Medicine, UCSF/VA San Francisco Health Care System, San Francisco, California, USA, ²⁹Clinical Affairs and
- Practice Guidelines, Infectious Diseases Society of America, Arlington, Virginia, USA, ³⁰Department of Health
- 39 Research Methods, Evidence, and Impact, McMaster University, Hamilton, Ontario, Canada

40	ABSTRACT. This article provides a focused update to the clinical practice guideline on the
41	treatment and management of patients with COVID-19, developed by the Infectious Diseases
42	Society of America. The guideline panel presents a recommendation on the use of vilobelimab in
43	hospitalized adults with critical COVID-19. The recommendation is based on evidence derived
44	from a systematic literature review and adheres to a standardized methodology for rating the
45	certainty of evidence and strength of recommendation according to the GRADE (Grading of
46	Recommendations, Assessment, Development, and Evaluation) approach.
47	Keywords. COVID-19; SARS-CoV-2; vilobelimab; monoclonal antibody; guideline
48	Posted online at https://www.idsociety.org/practice-guideline/covid-19-guideline-treatment-and-
49	management/ on February 12, 2025. As COVID-19 treatment and management guidelines may change
50	rapidly with evolving virus variants and ongoing research, please check the website for the most current
51	version of this guideline.
52	
53	In adults hospitalized with critical COVID-19, should vilobelimab compared to no vilobelimab be
54	added to standard care?
55	
56	Recommendation: In hospitalized adults with critical COVID-19* requiring mechanical ventilation or
57	ECMO, the IDSA guideline panel recommends vilobelimab only in the context of a clinical trial
58	(knowledge gap).
59	*Critical illness is defined as patients on mechanical ventilation and/or ECMO.
60	
61	BACKGROUND
62	Vilobelimab is a monoclonal antibody that targets C5a, a component of the complement system, which is
63	known to play a key role in the excessive inflammation seen in critical COVID-19 cases [1]. C5a has

been found to be elevated in patients with critical COVID-19, contributing to acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) and end organ failure [2]. A study in mice demonstrated that an anti-C5a monoclonal antibody can reduce immune system activation and inhibit lung injury [3]. Vilobelimab specifically binds to C5a and blocks its interaction with the C5a receptor, thereby inhibiting its pro-inflammatory effects. By targeting this pathway, vilobelimab reduces the inflammatory response without compromising the rest of the immune system.

On April 4, 2023, the FDA issued an Emergency Use Authorization for the use of vilobelimab to treat COVID-19 in hospitalized adults, specifically when administered within 48 hours of mechanical ventilation or extracorporeal membrane oxygenation [4]. This authorization was based on results of the PANAMO trial [5].

In this focused update to the 2023 guideline [6], a recommendation is provided for vilobelimab. The primary audience for this recommendation is clinicians treating hospitalized adults with critical COVID-19.

METHODS

The panel's recommendation is based upon a systematic review of available evidence and adheres to a standardized methodology for rating the certainty of evidence and strength of recommendation according to the GRADE (Grading of Recommendations, Assessment, Development, and Evaluation) approach (Supplementary Figure 1) [7]. The recommendation has been endorsed by the Society of Infectious Diseases Pharmacists, the Society for Healthcare Epidemiology of America, and the Society or Critical Care Medicine.

Strong recommendations are made when the recommended course of action would apply to most people with few exceptions. Conditional recommendations are made when the suggested course of action would apply to the majority of people with many exceptions and shared decision making is important.

Literature searches (up to November 2024) were conducted as part of a systematic review. Key eligibility criteria at both the topic and clinical question levels guided the selection of studies for

inclusion. For this clinical question, only hospitalized adults were included. The primary comparison of interest was vilobelimab versus no vilobelimab.

A critical appraisal of the evidence according to the GRADE approach, along with an assessment of the benefits and harms of care options, informed the recommendation(s) [7,8]. Details of the systematic review and guideline development processes are available in the Supplementary Material.

SUMMARY OF EVIDENCE

The search identified 2 randomized controlled trials that reported on adults ≥18 years with critical COVID-19 who were randomized to treatment with vilobelimab (800 mg IV) or placebo/best supportive care (Supplementary Table 1) [5,9]. One phase 2 open-label, multicenter trial (n=30) treated patients in the vilobelimab arm with a maximum of 7 doses of 800 mg IV. In the subsequent blinded, placebo-controlled, phase 3 trial, PANAMO (n=369), patients were treated with a maximum of 6 doses of vilobelimab 800 mg IV. Of participants in the PANAMO trial, approximately 17% received treatment with tocilizumab, 6% with remdesivir, and 3% with baricitinib. These trials reported on the outcomes of mortality at 28 days and serious adverse events (Table 1).

Table 1. GRADE Evidence Profile: In adults hospitalized with critical COVID-19, should vilobelimab compared to no vilobelimab be added to standard care?

Certainty assessment							№ of patients		Effect			
№ of studies	Study design	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other considerations	vilobelimab	no vilobelimab	Relative (95% CI)	Absolute (95% CI)	Certainty	Importance
Mortality	/ (follow-up: 2	8 days)										
2 ^(5,9)	randomized trials	not serious	not serious	not seriousª	very serious ^{b,c}	none	56/192 (29.2%)	81/206 (39.3%)	HR 0.73 (0.50 to 1.05)	88 fewer per 1,000 (from 172 fewer to 15 more)	⊕⊕⊖⊖ Low	CRITICAL
Serious	adverse even	ts (follow-u	p: 21 days)									
2 ^(5,9)	randomized trials	not serious	not serious	not serious ^a	very serious ^d	none	112/190 (58.9%)	127/204 (62.3%)	RR 0.95 (0.81 to 1.11)	31 fewer per 1,000 (from 118 fewer to 68 more)	⊕⊕⊖⊖ Low	CRITICAL

107 CI: confidence interval; HR: hazard ratio; RR: risk ratio

108 Explanations

105

- a. Not rated down for indirectness; however, clinical trials excluded immunocompromised persons and limited administration of study drug to participants receiving invasive mechanical ventilation within 48 hours before vilobelimab infusion.
- b. Few events do not meet optimal information size and suggest fragility of the estimate.
- c. 95% CI includes potential for reduction in mortality, as well as no meaningful difference with 1% mortality threshold.
- d. 95% CI crosses multiple thresholds and cannot exclude the possibility of harm.

BENEFITS

Among hospitalized patients, vilobelimab showed a trend toward reduced mortality at 28 days compared to no vilobelimab treatment (HR: 0.73; 95% CI: 0.50, 1.05; Supplementary Figure 2).

HARMS

Serious adverse events among patients receiving vilobelimab did not differ from those receiving usual care (RR: 0.95; 95% CI: 0.81, 1.11; Supplementary Figure 3).

OTHER CONSIDERATIONS

The panel agreed that the overall certainty of evidence was low (Table 1, Supplementary Table 2), given the sparseness in mortality data and because the upper boundary of the 95% CI failed to exclude the risk of possible harms. The panel also expressed concerns regarding the generalizability and indirectness of the results, as both studies excluded immunocompromised populations, had fewer than 33 participants concomitantly receiving tocilizumab or baricitinib, and were conducted during time periods with different circulating variants of COVID-19 than now. Further concerns included the potential toxicity and uncertain efficacy of adding vilobelimab to other immunomodulators (e.g., baricitinib or tocilizumab) for which there is greater evidence for benefit. The panel also examined the post-hoc, subgroup analysis of vilobelimab given with tocilizumab or baricitinib but recognized the need for more information, given the small number of patients in the subgroup (34 vilobelimab versus 37 placebo) [10]. Additionally, the panel noted the post hoc analysis of vilobelimab's effect by sepsis phenotypes and concluded that future prospective studies are necessary to validate these findings and establish their clinical utility [11].

Because the studies excluded patients <18 years, no statement of benefits vs. harms can be made for this age group.

CONCLUSIONS AND RESEARCH NEEDS

The guideline panel recommends vilobelimab only in the context of a clinical trial. Additional data are needed to assess the efficacy and toxicity of vilobelimab when given to patients receiving tocilizumab or baricitinib, immunomodulators for which there is greater evidence of benefit. More information is needed to assess the efficacy and adverse events of vilobelimab in people who are immunocompromised. In addition, more research is needed on the effects of vilobelimab when given after 48 hours of intubation, which may better represent current clinical practice. Further studies should focus on exploring whether certain subgroups could consistently and reliably benefit more from targeted treatment.

Acknowledgments: The expert panel would like to acknowledge former panelists for their work on the primary guideline. The panel would also like to acknowledge the following organizations and selected reviewers for their review of the draft manuscript: Society of Infectious Diseases Pharmacists, Society for Healthcare Epidemiology of America, Society of Critical Care Medicine, and Drs. Judith Aberg and John O'Horo.

Drs. Adarsh Bhimraj and Rajesh T. Gandhi are chair and vice chair of the panel, respectively. The Hospitalized Patients subgroup, under the leadership of Dr. Nandita Nadig, led the development of the recommendation. Remaining panelists assisted with interpretation of data, as well as drafting, revising, and approving the recommendation and manuscript. Drs. Rebecca Morgan, lead methodologist, and Yngve Falck-Ytter, methodologist, were responsible for designing and performing the data analyses and leading the panel according to the GRADE process. Jennifer Loveless, methodologist, was responsible for project planning and management, including revisions to and final approval of the recommendation and manuscript.

Disclaimer: It is important to recognize that guidelines cannot always account for individual variation among patients. They are assessments of current scientific and clinical information provided as an educational service; are not continually updated and may not reflect the most recent evidence (new evidence may emerge between the time information is drafted and when it is published or read); should not be considered inclusive of all proper methods of care, or as a statement of the standard of care; do not mandate any course of medical care; and are not intended to supplant clinician judgment with respect to particular patients or situations. Whether to follow guidelines and to what extent is voluntary, with the ultimate determination regarding their application to be made by the clinician in the light of each patient's individual circumstances. While IDSA makes every effort to present accurate, complete, and reliable information, these guidelines are presented "as is" without any warranty, either express or implied.

IDSA (and its officers, directors, members, employees, and agents) assume no responsibility for any loss, damage, or claim with respect to any liabilities, including direct, special, indirect, or consequential damages, incurred in connection with these guidelines or reliance on the information presented.

The guidelines represent the proprietary and copyrighted property of IDSA. All rights reserved. No part of these guidelines may be reproduced, distributed, or transmitted in any form or by any means, including photocopying,

recording, or other electronic or mechanical methods, without the prior written permission of IDSA. Permission is granted to physicians and health care providers solely to copy and use the guidelines in their professional practices and clinical decision making. No license or permission is granted to any person or entity, and prior written authorization by IDSA is required to sell, distribute, or modify the guidelines, or to make derivative works of or incorporate the guidelines into any product, including, but not limited to, clinical decision support software or any other software product. Except for the permission granted above, any person or entity desiring to use the guidelines in any way must contact IDSA for approval in accordance with the terms and conditions of third-party use, in particular any use of the guidelines in any software product.

Financial support. This work was supported by the Infectious Diseases Society of America. This project has been funded in whole or in part with federal funds from the National Cancer Institute, National Institutes of Health, under Contract No. 75N91019D00024. The content of this publication does not necessarily reflect the views or policies of the Department of Health and Human Services, nor does mention of trade names, commercial products, or organizations imply endorsement by the U.S. Government.

Possible conflicts of interest. Evaluation of relationships as potential conflicts of interest is determined by a review process. The assessment of disclosed relationships for possible COIs is based on the relative weight of the financial relationship (i.e., monetary amount) and the relevance of the relationship (i.e., the degree to which an association might reasonably be interpreted by an independent observer as related to the topic or recommendation of consideration). The following panelists have scientific advisory/consultant roles with indicated companies that are not related to the topic of COVID-19: R.B. with Merck and Gilead, E.D. with Gilead, D.G. with Merck and Gilead, S. S. with Pfizer (concluded), P.T. with Merck, and R.G. with Merck. The following panelists have scientific advisory/consultant roles with indicated companies that are related to COVID-19 but not critical COVID-19: R.B. with Shionogi, K.C. with Pardes Biosciences (concluded), A.K. with Shionogi, S.S. with Adamis and Immunome (concluded), and P.T. with Shionogi. J.P. had a scientific advisory/consultant role (concluded) with InflaRx related to vilobelimab. His participation was carefully managed during discussions, and he recused from all voting. No disclosures were reported for all other authors (the majority of panelists), including chair and vice chair.

Additional Information: More detailed information on the analysis and development of the recommendation is available in the Supplementary Material.

REFERENCES

- 1. Cugno M, Meroni PL, Gualtierotti R, et al. Complement activation in patients with COVID-19: a novel therapeutic target. J Allergy Clin Immunol **2020**; 146(1):215-217.
- 2. Chouaki Benmansour N, Carvelli J, Vivier E. Complement cascade in severe forms of COVID-19: recent advances in therapy. Eur J Immunol **2021**; 51(7):1652-1659.
- 3. Carvelli J, Demaria O, Vély F, et al. Association of COVID-19 inflammation with activation of the C5a-C5aR1 axis. Nature **2020**; 588(7836):146-150.

- U. S. Food and Drug Administration. Emergency Use Authorization (EUA) for vilobelimab (IFX-1) Center
 for Drug Evaluation and Research (CDER) review. Available at:
 https://www.fda.gov/media/167044/download?attachment. Accessed 09/15/2024.
- Vlaar APJ, Witzenrath M, van Paassen P, et al. Anti-C5a antibody (vilobelimab) therapy for critically ill, invasively mechanically ventilated patients with COVID-19 (PANAMO): a multicentre, double-blind, randomised, placebo-controlled, Phase 3 trial. Lancet Respir Med 2022; 10(12):1137-1146.
- Bhimraj A, Morgan RL, Shumaker AH, Baden LR, Cheng V, Edwards KM, et al. Infectious Diseases
 Society of America guidelines on the treatment and management of patients with COVID-19 (September
 2022). Clin Infect Dis 2024; 78(7): e250-e349.
 - 7. Guyatt GH, Oxman AD, Vist GE, et al.; GRADE Working Group. GRADE: an emerging consensus on rating quality of evidence and strength of recommendations. BMJ **2008**; 336: 924-926.

216217

218

219

220

221

222

223

224

225

226

229

- 8. Infectious Diseases Society of America. IDSA Handbook on Clinical Practice Guideline Development. Available at: https://www.idsociety.org/practice-guideline/clinical-practice-guidelines-development-training-and-resources/. Accessed 10/02/2024.
 - 9. Vlaar AP, de Bruin S, Busch M, et al. Anti-C5a antibody IFX-1 (vilobelimab) treatment versus best supportive care for patients with severe COVID-19 (PANAMO): an exploratory, open-label, phase 2 randomised controlled trial. The Lancet Rheumatology **2020**; 2(12): e764-e773.
 - 10. Shorr AF, Vlaar APJ, Lim EHT, et al. Vilobelimab in combination with tocilizumab or baricitinib dramatically improves mortality in critically ill COVID-19 patients: A subgroup analysis. Am J Respir Crit Care Med **2024**; 209: A5511.
- 11. van Amstel RBE, Slim MA, Lim EHT, et al. Heterogeneity of treatment effect of vilobelimab in COVID 19: a secondary analysis of a randomised controlled trial. *Crit Care.* 2024;28(1):210.